

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,425	05/22/2001	Srinivas Bharadwaj	MEDIAFARM.PT1	9411
24490 NAREN CHA	7590 12/31/2007 GANTI	EXAMINER		
713 THE HAMPTONS LANE			COULTER, KENNETH R	
TOWN & COUNTRY, MO 63017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

production of the state of the	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/863,425	BHARADWAJ, SRINIVAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE A MA	ONTUKS) OR THIRTY (20) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (c), cause the application to become AB	CATION. Teply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2007.					
/						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 and 30-37 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 30-37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	ightharpoonup (1) in the first term (1) in the first (1)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot	· ·				
Attachment(s)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of l	nformal Patent Application				

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Examiner notes that the "clean copy" of claim 1 contains deleted material (claim 1, line 7).

Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner notes that canceled claim 29 should contain no claim language (see 37 CFR 1.121(c) item 4, section i which states "No claim text shall be presented for any claim in the claim listing with the status of "canceled" or "not entered.")

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

- 2. Claims 1 28 and 30 37are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being disclosed by Lee et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,336,137) (Web Client-Server System and Method for Incompatible Page Markup and Presentation Languages).
- 2.1 Regarding claim 16, Lee discloses an apparatus comprising: a client computer configured to fit in a person's hand, comprising:

a central processor unit (col. 1, lines 57 – 58 "low processor power");

memory device coupled to the central processor unit, said memory being

configured to store instructions to direct the central processing unit (col. 1, lines 56 - 62

"low memory capacity");

a communication device coupled to the central processor unit and adapted to establish a wireless communication link with one or more remotely located server computers (Figs. 3, 4; col. 11, lines 1 - 12);

second component coupled to the memory device, said second component configured to receive a compound request message from the server wherein the compound request message comprises a plurality of events generated in a predetermined time period (col. 4, lines 13 – 20; col. 5, lines 26 – 41);

third component coupled to the memory device, said third component configured to use the compound request message to update a display state of the client computer; and a display device coupled to the central processor unit, wherein said client computer device is adapted to act as a remote output device for at least one client-side application programs running on said remotely located server computers over a wide-

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

area mobile network without the need for an execution environment on the client computer (col. 11, lines 1 – 12 "All application logic resides on the Web Server, 61, or Application Server, 73, and is displayed on the wireless client, 41, on demand."; col. 1, lines 22 - 26).

- 2.2 Per claim 17, Lee teaches the apparatus as in claim 16, wherein the at least one client-side application program is a browser program (col. 11, lines 1 12).
- 2.3 Regarding claim 18, Lee discloses the apparatus as in claim 16, wherein the at least one client-side application program is an E-mail client program (col. 11, lines 1 12; col. 13, lines 56 63).
- 2.4 Per claim 19, Lee teaches the apparatus as in claim 16, further comprising: a portion of the memory device configured as a local cache; wherein drawables corresponding to the one or more application programs are stored in the cache for local retrieval and display (col. 11, lines 18 33; col. 12, lines 21 21).
- 2.5 Regarding claim 20, Lee discloses the apparatus as in claim 16, further comprising: fourth component coupled to the communication device, said fourth component configured to transmit a user's identification information to a server (col. 6, lines 12 27); and fifth component coupled to the communication device, said fifth component configured to receive information regarding a list of applications previously

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

executing for that user (col. 6, lines 12 - 27).

- 2.6 Per claim 21, Lee teaches the apparatus as in claim 16, further comprising: sixth component coupled to the communication device, said sixth component configured to select one of a plurality of applications from a list of available applications (col. 10, line 66 col. 11, line 17).
- 2.7 Regarding claims 22 and 23, Lee does not explicitly teach multimedia displaying circuitry.

However, this circuitry is commonplace on portable browser and represents no patentably distinct feature over the prior art.

- 2.8 Regarding claim 24, Lee discloses the apparatus as in claim 16, further comprising: first component coupled to the memory device, said first component configured to transmit a list of cached drawables for an active application to a server (col. 11, lines 18 33; col. 12, lines 21 21).
- 2.9 Per claims 25, 27, and 28, Lee does not explicitly disclose the features involving groupware, financial, and calendar applications.

Voice activation is commonplace on thin client devices in order to reduce the use of small, unwieldy input devices on portable thin clients.

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

- 2.10 Regarding claim 26, Lee discloses the apparatus as in claim 16, wherein the at least one client-side application program is a financial services application program (col. 13, lines 56 63 "banking transactions"; col. 11, lines 1 12).
- 2.11 Per claims 30 37, the rejection of claims 16 28 under 35 USC 102(e) (paragraph 2.1 2.10) applies fully.
- 2.12 Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses an apparatus comprising:
  - a client computer, comprising:

a communication device adapted to establish a wireless communication link with one or more remotely located server computers (Fig. 3, item 41; Fig. 4, item 41; col. 11, lines 1 - 12); and

a display device coupled to the communication device (col. 4, lines 34 – 35 "rendered views are **displayed** in a language supported by the **client** or browser."),

wherein said display device is adapted to act as a remote output device for at least one client-side application program running on said at least one remotely located server computer without the need for a virtual execution environment on the client computer (col. 11, lines 6 – 12 "the WAP/WML thin client 41, does not store data on the client. All application logic resides on the Web Server, 61, or Application Server, 73, and is displayed on the wireless client, 41, on demand."; col. 1, lines 22 – 26).

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

2.13 Regarding claims 2 – 15, the rejection of claims 1 and 16 – 28 under 35 USC 102(e) (paragraph 2.1 – 2.10 and 2.12) applies fully.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner notes that in the remarks section, Applicant refers to paragraphs in US Patent Pub. No. 2002/0032751 (the US Pat. Publication associated with the present Application).

Applicant states that the Lee (U.S. Pat. No. 6,336,137) does **not** teach newly amended claim 1, claim 1 reciting in part:

wherein said display device is adapted to act as a remote output device for at least one client-side application program running on said at least one remotely located server computer without the need for a virtual execution environment on the client computer.

Examiner disagrees.

Lee clearly discloses the equivalent statement "the WAP/WML thin client 41, does not store data on the client. All application logic resides on the Web Server, 61, or Application Server, 73, and is displayed on the wireless client, 41, on demand." (col. 11, lines 9 – 12).

09/863,425 Art Unit: 2141

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Coulter whose telephone number is 571 272-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 7:30 am - 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

krc

Manten C